CS601, Lecture 20/10/2022 – Finite Element Method contd.

The previous lecture started with the FEM approach to solving PDEs. First, the method of weighted residuals was discussed and then the original PDE in *strong-form* was transformed to a *weak-form* equation. This lecture discusses applying the ideas discussed in the previous lecture to the FEM approach. First, the high-level steps of the FEM approach are listed, then the concept of element, shape functions, and the system of equations are discussed in the context of a 1D rod problem.

The steps in the FEM approach are:

- 1) construct the weak-form of the PDE
- 2) assume the form of approximate solution for a typical *element*. More on element in the next paragraph.
- 3) Derive finite element equations by substituting approximated solution in the weak form

An element is a resulting sub-domain obtained after the discretization step. Each element contains *nodes* (grid points in the FDM context. In FEM context, a node is not exactly a grid point. A grid point makes sense only in the FDM context while in the FEM context, an *element* is used. An element can contain nodes at the *boundaries or internally*. The number of nodes per element depends on the approximate solution considered. You will see this later in the example.

The approximate solution (in step 2 above) considered must satisfy the following properties:

- Continuous and differentiable
- Complete (e.g. f(x) = c1 + c2x is a complete linear function. $g(x) = c1x + c2x^2$ is not a complete quadratic function.)

Consider a 2-node rod element:



 x_A and x_B are the spatial coordinates. The element is of length $h^e = x_B - x_A$ Let the approximate solution for element e, u^e , be a linear function f(x) = c1 + c2x. So, the approximate solution at points x_A and x_B are given by the equations:

$$u_1^e = c1 + c2x_A$$
$$u_2^e = c1 + c2x_B$$

Writing in matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_A \\ 1 & x_B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c1 \\ c2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1^e \\ u_2^e \end{bmatrix}$$

yields:

$$c1 = u_1^e - \frac{(u_2^e - u_1^e)}{x_B - x_A} x_A$$
$$c2 = \frac{(u_2^e - u_1^e)}{x_B - x_A}$$

Substituting for c1 and c2 in the approximated solution c1 + c2x:

$$c1 + c2x = \frac{u_1^e(x_B - x)}{(x_B - x_A)} + \frac{u_2^e(x - x_A)}{(x_B - x_A)}$$

Let us denote the approximated solution as \tilde{u} . Then $\tilde{u} = N_1(x)u_1 + N_2(x)u_2$, where N_1 , N_2 , are the shape functions or weight functions or interpolation functions. The element is called as a 2-noded linear element.

Note that these are functions of *x*.

At point x_B , the value of function $N_1 = 0$ and point x_A the value of function $N_1 = 1$

At point x_B , the value of function $N_2 = 1$ and point x_A the value of function $N_2 = 0$ We denote \tilde{u} as:

$$\tilde{u} = N_1 u_1 + N_2 u_2$$

or written in terms of longitudinal displacement:

$$\tilde{u}(x) = N_1(x)u_1 + N_2(x)u_2$$

 u_1 and u_2 are displacements at the Nodes 1 and 2 resp. These are called *nodal / elemental displacements*.

Remark: we can choose an internal node in our element. In this case, we will have 3 nodes, and the approximated solution must be a quadratic when we have 3 nodes. For better accuracy, we can increase the polynomial degree (and also the internal nodes commensurately) or decrease the mesh size $(x_B - x_A)$. In practice, increasing the polynomial degree is more expensive to compute.

Recall the weak-form equation for the rod:

$$\left[\omega EA\frac{d\tilde{u}}{dx}\right]_{0}^{L} + \int_{\Omega} \omega F \, d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} EA\frac{d\omega}{dx}\frac{d\tilde{u}}{dx} \, d\Omega$$

Substituting for ω with N_1 and N_2 . in the "weak-form" equation, we get the following two equations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} N_1 E A \frac{d\tilde{u}}{dx} \end{bmatrix}_0^L + \int_{\Omega} N_1 F \, d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} E A \frac{dN_1}{dx} \frac{d}{dx} (N_1 u_1 + N_2 u_2) \, d\Omega \\ \begin{bmatrix} N_2 E A \frac{d\tilde{u}}{dx} \end{bmatrix}_0^L + \int_{\Omega} N_2 F \, d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} E A \frac{dN_2}{dx} \frac{d}{dx} (N_1 u_1 + N_2 u_2) \, d\Omega \end{bmatrix}$$

Considering the first equation, rewriting, and expanding (note the color coding is for readability only):

$$\left[N_1 E A \frac{d\tilde{u}}{dx}\right]_0^L + \int_{\Omega} N_1 F \, d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} E A \frac{dN_1}{dx} \frac{d}{dx} \left(N_1 u_1 + N_2 u_2\right) \, d\Omega \qquad \text{(LHS=RHS)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} EA \frac{dN_1}{dx} \frac{d}{dx} (N_1 u_1 + N_2 u_2) d\Omega = \left[N_1 EA \frac{d\tilde{u}}{dx} \right]_0^L + \int_{\Omega} N_1 F d\Omega \quad \text{(RHS=LHS)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} EA \frac{dN_1}{dx} \frac{dN_1}{dx} u_1 d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} EA \frac{dN_1}{dx} \frac{dN_2}{dx} u_2 d\Omega = \left[N_1 EA \frac{d\tilde{u}}{dx} \right]_0^L + \int_{\Omega} N_1 F d\Omega$$

Similarly considering the second equation, rewriting, and expanding:

$$\int_{\Omega} EA \frac{dN_2}{dx} \frac{dN_1}{dx} u_1 d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} EA \frac{dN_2}{dx} \frac{dN_2}{dx} u_2 d\Omega = \left[N_2 EA \frac{d\tilde{u}}{dx} \right]_0^L + \int_{\Omega} N_2 F d\Omega$$

Using shorter notation on the LHS for the two equations expanded:

$$K_{11}u_1 + K_{12}u_2 = \left[N_1 E A \frac{d\tilde{u}}{dx}\right]_0^L + \int_0^L N_1 F \, dx \qquad (1)$$

$$K_{21}u_1 + K_{22}u_2 = \left[N_2 E A \frac{d\tilde{u}}{dx}\right]_0^L + \int_0^L N_2 F \, dx \qquad (2)$$

Where, $K_{ij} = \int_0^L EA \frac{dN_i}{dx} \frac{dN_j}{dx} dx$ and Ω ranges from 0 to L (d Ω becomes dx because of the domain is 1D).

The Equations 1 and 2 can be expressed in Ax=B form (A is matrix, x is vector, and B is a vector) as:

