### CS601: Software Development for Scientific Computing Autumn 2021

#### Week13:

Hierarchical Methods (FMM) and Sparse Matrices

## Course Progress..

- Last week
	- Tree-based codes (hierarchical methods)
		- Barnes-Hut
		- Fast Multipole Method (FMM)

- This week
	- FMM
	- Sparse matrices and
	- PA4 discussion

# FMM Algorithm

- 1. Build the quadtree containing all the points.
- 2. Traverse the quadtree from bottom to top, computing Outer(n) for each square n in the tree.
- 3. Traverse the quadtree from top to bottom, computing Inner(n) for each square in the tree.
- 4. For each leaf, add the contributions of nearest neighbors and particles in the leaf to Inner(n)

*what is Outer(n) and Inner(n) ?*

## Well Separated Regions

• Compute the influence of all particles in source region (B) on every particle in target region (A)

*(assumption: A and B are well-separated)*



• At each point  $p_i$  in A, compute potential:

$$
\Phi(x_i, y_i) = \sum_{p_j \in B} m_i \log |p_i - p_j|
$$
  

$$
i = 1 \text{ to } N_A, \qquad j = 1 \text{ to } N_B
$$

Cost:  $O(N_A N_B)$ 

Nikhil Hegde 4

## Well Separated Regions

• Compute the influence of all particles in source region (B) on every particle in target region (A)

$$
\Phi(x_{p_i}, y_{p_i}) = \sum_{p_j \in B} m_i \log |p_i - p_j|, p_i \in A
$$



# Applying the 3-step Approximation

• In N-body simulation every point serves as source as well as target.

How to identify source and target (boxes A and B in previous slide) i.e. well-separated regions?

*Hierarchical decomposition*

• *Level-0 decomposition*

• *Level-1 decomposition*



A

No well-separated boxes

• *Level-2 decomposition*



Well-separated from B

Can approximate the influence of points in B on points in Ai s

#### What do we do about **B**'s influence on Ni s?

• *Level-3 decomposition*



Influence of points in Bi s on those in Ai s already computed at the previous level (level-2)

• *Level-3 decomposition*



Influence of points in Bi s on those in Ai s already computed at the previous level (level-2)

Well-separated from B4 Influence of B4's points on nx's points can be approximated

Nikhil Hegde 10 *number of* nx *s that we can have for any* Bi*? What is the max size of interaction list? i.e. max*  nx's constitute the interaction list for B4.

• *Level-3 decomposition*



Influence of points in Bi s on those in Ai s already computed at the previous level (level-2)

Well-separated from B4 Influence of B4's points on nx's points can be approximated

What do we do about **B4**'s influence on its neighbors (white/unshaded boxes)?

• *Level-4 decomposition*



Any unshaded box outside  $\Box$  can be the *target* for computing the influence of points in (*source*)

## 1. Computing Potential for Well-Separated Regions

- 1. **for** level L=2 **to** last\_level
- 2. **for** each Box B at level L
- 3. iList = GetInteractionList(B)
- 4. for each well-separated box A in iList

//Compute potential

5. potential =  $m_B \log |C_A - C_B|$ 

//Accumulate potential

6.  $\Phi(x_{C_A}, y_{C_A})$  +=potential

## 1. Computing Potential for Well-Separated Regions

- 1. **for** level L=2 **to** last\_level
- 2. **for** each Box B at level L
- 3. iList = GetInteractionList(B)
- 4. for each well-separated box A in iList

//Compute potential

5. potential  $= m_B \log |C_A - C_B|$ 

//Accumulate potential

6.  $\Phi(x_{C_A}, y_{C_A})$  +=potential

### **Prereqs:** we need  $m_B$ ,  $C_A$ ,  $C_B$  details. (step 0)

## 2. Assigning Potential to Points

1. **for** each Box A at level L=0 to last\_level

2.  $\Phi_{p_i} = \Phi_{p_i} + \Phi_{C_A}$  (where  $p_i \in A$  and  $C_A$  is A's CM)

## 3. Assigning Potential to Points (last level)

- 1. **for** each Box B at last\_level
- 2.  $\Phi_{p_i} = \Phi_{p_i} + \sum_{p_j \in Neighbors(B)} m_B \log |p_i p_j|$  (where  $p_i \in B$ )

## 0. Computing Prereqs

- 1. **for** each Box B at level L=0 to last\_level
- 2.  $m_B = \sum_{p_i \in B} m_j$
- 3. //similarly compute  $C_B$

## Total Cost (steps  $0 + 1 + 2 + 3$ )

 $O(N \log N) + O(N) + O(N \log N) + O(N)$ 

Can we do better?

## 0'. Computing Prereqs

- Traverse the tree bottom up instead of top-down **for** each Box B starting from last level to L=0 if B is a leaf box  $m_B = \sum_{p_i \in B} m_i$ else  $m_B = m_{B_1} + m_{B_2} + m_{B_3} + m_{B_4}$ 
	- $//B_1-B_4$  are children of B

## 2'. Assigning Potential to Points

1. **for** each Box A at level L=0 to last\_level 2. if A is a leaf box

> $\Phi_{p_i} = \Phi_{p_i} + \Phi_{C_A}$  (where  $p_i \in A$  and  $C_A$  is A's CM) else

$$
\Phi_{A_1} = \Phi_{A_1} + \Phi_A
$$
  
\n
$$
\Phi_{A_2} = \Phi_{A_2} + \Phi_A
$$
  
\n
$$
\Phi_{A_3} = \Phi_{A_3} + \Phi_A
$$
  
\n
$$
\Phi_{A_4} = \Phi_{A_4} + \Phi_A
$$
  
\n//A<sub>1</sub>-A<sub>4</sub> are children of A

## Total Cost (steps  $0' + 1 + 2' + 3$ )

 $O(N) + O(N) + O(N) + O(N)$ 

**Problem:** low accuracy if source (A) and target (B) are not far away from each other

**Solution:** more accurate representations for  $m_B$  and  $\Phi(x_{C_A}, y_{C_A})$ 

- Like a Taylor series expansion that is accurate when  $x^2 +$  $y^2$  is large (x, y are cartesian coordinates of the point)
- For a quadtree box B centered at  $(x_{C_B}, y_{C_B})$ , we compute and store the terms:  $\{{\bm m}_{\bm B},{\bm \alpha}_{\bm 1},{\bm \alpha}_{\bm 2},\ldots,{\bm \alpha}_{\bm p},{\bm z}_{\bm C_{\bm B}}\}$



$$
\alpha_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N_B} m_i \left(\frac{z_i^j}{j}\right)
$$

 $z_i$ means $|z_i| = |(x_i, y_i)|$ 

We approximate the potential at point z due to B by:



• Because  $\{m_B, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_p, z_{C_B}\}\;$  is used to compute potential outside B, it is called outer expansion Nikhil Hegde 23

- Similarly, we have the <u>inner expansion</u>  $\{m_B, \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_p, z_{C_B}\}$ for computing the potential inside the Box due to all other points outside the box
- Computing outer expansions starts from leaf nodes and proceeds upwards in the tree.
- Computing inner expansions starts from root node and proceeds downwards in the tree.

## 3-Step Approximation (accurate)



# FMM Algorithm

- 1. Build the quadtree containing all the points.
- 2. Traverse the quadtree from bottom to top, computing Outer(n) for each square n in the tree.
- 3. Traverse the quadtree from top to bottom, computing Inner(n) for each square in the tree.
- 4. For each leaf, add the contributions of nearest neighbors and particles in the leaf to Inner(n)

- How to obtain the expression for alpha, beta?
- What is the value of p?
- How to compute alpha and beta?

• Further reading:

<https://people.eecs.berkeley.edu/~demmel/cs267/lecture27/lecture27.html>

## Matrix Algebra and Efficient **Computation**

• **Pic source: the Parallel Computing Laboratory at U.C. Berkeley: A Research Agenda Based on the Berkeley View (2008)**



Figure 4. Temperature Chart of the 13 Motifs. It shows their importance to each of the original six application areas and then how important each one is to the five compelling applications of Section 3.1. More details on the motifs can be found in (Asanovic, Bodik et al. 2006).



# Matrix Multiplication

- Why study?
	- An important "kernel" in many linear algebra algorithms
	- Most studied kernel in high performance computing
	- Simple. Optimization ideas can be applied to other kernels
- Matrix representation
	- Matrix is a 2D array of elements. Computer memory is inherently linear
	- C++ and Fortran allow for definition of 2D arrays. 2D arrays stored row-wise in C++. Stored column-wise in Fortran. E.g.

```
// stores 10 arrays of 20 doubles each in C++
```

```
double** mat = new double[10][20];
```
## Storage Layout - Example

• Matrix (**2D**):A =  $A(0,0)$   $A(0,1)$   $A(0,2)$  $A(1,0)$   $A(1,1)$   $A(1,2)$  $A(2,0)$   $A(2,1)$   $A(2,2)$ 

 $A(i, j) = A(row, column)$  refers to the matrix element in the i<sup>th</sup> row and the j<sup>th</sup> column

• Row-wise (/Row-major) storage in memory:

 $A(0,0)$   $A(0,1)$   $A(0,2)$   $A(1,0)$   $A(1,1)$   $A(1,2)$   $A(2,0)$   $A(2,1)$   $A(2,2)$ 

- Column-wise (/Column-major) storage in memory:  $A(0,0) | A(1,0) | A(2,0) | A(0,1) | A(1,1) | A(2,1) | A(0,2) | A(1,2) | A(2,2)$
- **Generalizing data storage order for ND:** last index changes fastest in row-major. Last index changes slowest in col-major.

## Storage Layout - Exercise

• For a 3D array (tensor) assume  $A(i, j, k) = A(row, column, depth)$ 



- What is the offset of  $A(1, 2, 1)$  ? as per row-major storage?
- What is the offset of  $A(1, 2, 1)$  ? as per col-major storage?

## Storage Layout

- Layout format itself doesn't influence efficiency (i.e. no general answer to "is column-wise layout better than rowwise?" )
- However, knowing the layout format is critical for good performance
	- *Always traverse the data in the order in which it is laid out*

How good performance?

Run on (12 X 2592.01 MHz CPU s) CPU Caches:

L1 Data 32 KiB  $(x6)$ 

L1 Instruction 32 KiB (x6)

- L2 Unified 256 KiB (x6)
- L3 Unified 12288 KiB (x1)

Load Average: 0.07, 0.02, 0.07

#### Source code: https://github.com/eliben/code-for[blog/tree/master/2015/benchmark-row-col-major](https://github.com/eliben/code-for-blog/tree/master/2015/benchmark-row-col-major)



des/week13\_codesamples\$ ./a.out 4096 Rowwise time n=4096 (us): 18967 Colwise time n=4096 (us): 158608 nikhilh@ndhpc01:/mnt/c/temp/Nikhil/Cou des/week13 codesamples\$ ./a.out 2048 Rowwise time n=2048 (us): 4860 Colwise time n=2048 (us): 32158 nikhilh@ndhpc01:/mnt/c/temp/Nikhil/Cou des/week13\_codesamples\$ ./a.out 1024 Nikhil Hegde 33

Matrix-Matrix Addition benchmarking

([Source code and further reading](https://eli.thegreenplace.net/2015/memory-layout-of-multi-dimensional-arrays) )

Matvec execution time (we used the [source code a](https://hegden.github.io/cs601/slides/week13_codesamples.zip)s a basic example to demonstrate row\_major vs. col\_major storage.)

## Matrix Multiplication

- Three fundamental ways to think of the algorithm
	- Dot product

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.5 + 2.7 & 1.6 + 2.8 \\ 3.5 + 4.7 & 3.6 + 4.8 \end{bmatrix}
$$

– Linear combination of left matrix

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + 7 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad 6 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + 8 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}
$$

– Sum of outer products

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix}
$$