CS601: Software Development for Scientific Computing Autumn 2021

Week13:

Hierarchical Methods (FMM) and Sparse Matrices

Course Progress..

- Last week
 - Tree-based codes (hierarchical methods)
 - Barnes-Hut
 - Fast Multipole Method (FMM)

- This week
 - FMM
 - Sparse matrices and
 - PA4 discussion

FMM Algorithm

- 1. Build the quadtree containing all the points.
- Traverse the quadtree from bottom to top, computing Outer(n) for each square n in the tree.
- 3. Traverse the quadtree from top to bottom, computing Inner(n) for each square in the tree.
- 4. For each leaf, add the contributions of nearest neighbors and particles in the leaf to Inner(n)

what is Outer(n) and Inner(n) ?

Well Separated Regions

Compute the influence of all particles in source region (B) ۲ on every particle in target region (A)

(assumption: A and B are well-separated)



At each point p_i in A, compute potential: •

$$\Phi(x_i, y_i) = \sum_{p_j \in B} m_i \log |p_i - p_j|$$

i = 1 to N_A, j = 1 to N_B

Cost: $O(N_A N_B)$

Nikhil Hegde

Well Separated Regions

 Compute the influence of all particles in source region (B) on every particle in target region (A)

$$\Phi(x_{p_i}, y_{p_i}) = \sum_{p_j \in B} m_i \log |p_i - p_j|, p_i \in A$$



Applying the 3-step Approximation

 In N-body simulation every point serves as source as well as target.

How to identify source and target (boxes A and B in previous slide) i.e. well-separated regions?

Hierarchical decomposition

• Level-0 decomposition

• Level-1 decomposition

А	В
С	D

Α

No well-separated boxes

• Level-2 decomposition

N1	N2	N3	A1
N4	В	N5	A2
N6	N7	N8	A3
A7	A6	A5	A4

Well-separated from B

Can approximate the influence of points in B on points in Ai s

What do we do about **B**'s influence on Ni s?

• Level-3 decomposition



Influence of points in Bi s on those in Ai s already computed at the previous level (level-2)

• Level-3 decomposition



Influence of points in Bi s on those in Ai s already computed at the previous level (level-2)

Well-separated from B4 Influence of B4's points on nx's points can be approximated

nx's constitute the <u>interaction list</u> for B4. What is the max size of interaction list? i.e. max number of nx s that we can have for any Bi?

• Level-3 decomposition



Influence of points in Bi s on those in Ai s already computed at the previous level (level-2)

Well-separated from B4 Influence of B4's points on nx's points can be approximated

What do we do about **B4**'s influence on its neighbors (white/unshaded boxes)?

• Level-4 decomposition



Any unshaded box outside can be the *target* for computing the influence of points in (*source*)

1. Computing Potential for Well-Separated Regions

- 1. for level L=2 to last_level
- 2. for each Box B at level L
- 3. iList = GetInteractionList(B)
- 4. for each well-separated box A in iList

//Compute potential

5. potential = $m_B \log |C_A - C_B|$

//Accumulate potential

 $\Phi(x_{C_A}, y_{C_A}) +=$ potential

Cost?

6.

1. Computing Potential for Well-Separated Regions

- 1. for level L=2 to last_level
- 2. for each Box B at level L
- 3. iList = GetInteractionList(B)
- 4. for each well-separated box A in iList

//Compute potential

5. potential $= m_B \log |C_A - C_B|$

//Accumulate potential

6. $\Phi(x_{C_A}, y_{C_A}) +=$ potential

Prereqs: we need m_B , C_A , C_B details. (step 0)

2. Assigning Potential to Points

1. for each Box A at level L=0 to last_level

2. $\Phi_{p_i} = \Phi_{p_i} + \Phi_{C_A}$ (where $p_i \in A$ and C_A is A's CM)

3. Assigning Potential to Points (last level)

- 1. for each Box B at last_level
- 2. $\Phi_{p_i} = \Phi_{p_i} + \sum_{p_j \in Neighbors(B)} m_B \log |p_i p_j| \text{ (where } p_i \in B\text{)}$

0. Computing Prereqs

- 1. for each Box B at level L=0 to last_level
- 2. $m_B = \sum_{p_j \in B} m_j$
- 3. //similarly compute C_B

Total Cost (steps 0 + 1 + 2 + 3)

 $O(N \log N) + O(N) + O(N \log N) + O(N)$

Can we do better?

0'. Computing Prereqs

• Traverse the tree bottom up instead of top-down for each Box B starting from last_level to L=0 if B is a leaf box $m_B = \sum_{p_j \in B} m_j$ else

$$m_B = m_{B_1} + m_{B_2} + m_{B_3} + m_{B_4}$$

//B₁-B₄ are children of B

2'. Assigning Potential to Points

for each Box A at level L=0 to last_level
 if A is a leaf box

 $\Phi_{p_i} = \Phi_{p_i} + \Phi_{\mathcal{C}_A} \text{ (where } p_i \in A \text{ and } \mathcal{C}_A \text{ is A's CM)}$ else

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{A_1} &= \Phi_{A_1} + \Phi_A \\ \Phi_{A_2} &= \Phi_{A_2} + \Phi_A \\ \Phi_{A_3} &= \Phi_{A_3} + \Phi_A \\ \Phi_{A_4} &= \Phi_{A_4} + \Phi_A \\ //A_1 - A_4 \text{ are children of A} \end{split}$$

Total Cost (steps 0' + 1 + 2' + 3)

O(N) + O(N) + O(N) + O(N)

Problem: low accuracy if source (A) and target (B) are not far away from each other

Solution: more accurate representations for m_B and $\Phi(x_{C_A}, y_{C_A})$

- Like a Taylor series expansion that is accurate when $x^2 + y^2$ is large (*x*, *y* are cartesian coordinates of the point)
- For a quadtree box B centered at (x_{C_B}, y_{C_B}) , we compute and store the terms: $\{m_B, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p, z_{C_B}\}$



$$\alpha_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N_B} m_i \left(\frac{z_i^j}{j}\right)$$

 z_i means $|z_i| = |(x_i, y_i)|$

Nikhil Hegde

• We approximate the potential at point z due to B by:



• Because $\{m_B, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_p, z_{CB}\}$ is used to compute potential outside B, it is called <u>outer expansion</u>

- Similarly, we have the inner expansion $\{m_B, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_p, z_{C_B}\}$ for computing the potential inside the Box due to all other points outside the box
- Computing outer expansions starts from leaf nodes and proceeds upwards in the tree.
- Computing inner expansions starts from root node and proceeds downwards in the tree.

3-Step Approximation (accurate)



FMM Algorithm

- 1. Build the quadtree containing all the points.
- 2. Traverse the quadtree from bottom to top, computing Outer(n) for each square n in the tree.
- 3. Traverse the quadtree from top to bottom, computing Inner(n) for each square in the tree.
- 4. For each leaf, add the contributions of nearest neighbors and particles in the leaf to Inner(n)

- How to obtain the expression for alpha, beta ?
- What is the value of p?
- How to compute alpha and beta?

• Further reading:

https://people.eecs.berkeley.edu/~demmel/cs267/lecture27/lecture27.html

Matrix Algebra and Efficient Computation

• Pic source: the Parallel Computing Laboratory at U.C. Berkeley: A Research Agenda Based on the Berkeley View (2008)



Figure 4. Temperature Chart of the 13 Motifs. It shows their importance to each of the original six application areas and then how important each one is to the five compelling applications of Section 3.1. More details on the motifs can be found in (Asanovic, Bodik et al. 2006).



Matrix Multiplication

- Why study?
 - An important "kernel" in many linear algebra algorithms
 - Most studied kernel in high performance computing
 - Simple. Optimization ideas can be applied to other kernels
- Matrix representation
 - Matrix is a 2D array of elements. Computer memory is inherently linear
 - C++ and Fortran allow for definition of 2D arrays. 2D arrays stored row-wise in C++. Stored column-wise in Fortran. E.g.

```
// stores 10 arrays of 20 doubles each in C++
```

```
double** mat = new double[10][20];
```

Storage Layout - Example

• Matrix (**2D**):A =
$$\begin{bmatrix} A(0,0) & A(0,1) & A(0,2) \\ A(1,0) & A(1,1) & A(1,2) \\ A(2,0) & A(2,1) & A(2,2) \end{bmatrix}$$

A(i, j) = A(row, column) refers to the matrix element in the ith row and the jth column

Row-wise (/Row-major) storage in memory:

A(0,0) A(0,1) A(0,2) A(1,0) A(1,1) A(1,2) A(2,0) A(2,1) A(2,2)

- Column-wise (/Column-major) storage in memory:
 A(0,0) A(1,0) A(2,0) A(0,1) A(1,1) A(2,1) A(0,2) A(1,2) A(2,2)
- Generalizing data storage order for ND: last index changes fastest in row-major. Last index changes slowest in col-major.

Storage Layout - Exercise

• For a 3D array (tensor) assume A(i, j, k) = A(row, column, depth)



- What is the offset of A(1, 2, 1)? as per row-major storage?
- What is the offset of A(1, 2, 1)? as per col-major storage?

Storage Layout

- Layout format itself doesn't influence efficiency (i.e. no general answer to "is column-wise layout better than rowwise?")
- However, knowing the layout format is critical for good performance
 - Always traverse the data in the order in which it is laid out

How good performance?

Run on (12 X 2592.01 MHz CPU s) CPU Caches:

L1 Data 32 KiB (x6) L1 Instruction 32 KiB (x6)

- L2 Unified 256 KiB (x6)
- L3 Unified 12288 KiB (x1)

Load Average: 0.07, 0.02, <u>0.07</u>

Source code: <u>https://github.com/eliben/code-for-blog/tree/master/2015/benchmark-row-col-major</u>

Benchmark	Time	CPU	Iterations UserCounters
BM AddByRow/64/64	693 ns	693 ns	1042737 items per second=5.91004G/s
BM_AddByRow/128/128	2464 ns	2464 ns	
BM_AddByRow/256/256	11134 ns	11133 ns	63210 items_per_second=5.88639G/s
BM_AddByRow/512/512 BM_AddByCol/64/64	44353 ns 3270 ns	44353 ns 3270 ns	15576 items_per_second=5.91041G/s 212929 items_per_second=1.25254G/s
BM_AddByCol/128/128	39741 ns	39741 ns	<pre>17617 items_per_second=412.272M/s</pre>
BM_AddByCol/256/256	314880 ns	314878 ns	<pre>2241 items_per_second=208.132M/s</pre>
BM_AddByCol/512/512	1276733 ns	1276723 ns	545 items_per_second=205.326M/s

des/week13_codesamples\$./a.out 4096
Rowwise time n=4096 (us): 18967
Colwise time n=4096 (us): 158608
nikhilh@ndhpc01:/mnt/c/temp/Nikhil/Cou
des/week13_codesamples\$./a.out 2048
Rowwise time n=2048 (us): 4860
Colwise time n=2048 (us): 32158
nikhilh@ndhpc01:/mnt/c/temp/Nikhil/Cou
des/week13_codesamples\$./a.out 1024
Rowwise time n=1024 (us): 1125
Colwise time n=1024 (us): 1980

 $\widehat{}$

Matrix-Matrix Addition benchmarking

(Source code and further reading)

Matvec execution time (we used the <u>source code</u> as a basic example to demonstrate row_major vs. col_major storage.)

Matrix Multiplication

- Three fundamental ways to think of the algorithm
 - Dot product

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.5 + 2.7 & 1.6 + 2.8 \\ 3.5 + 4.7 & 3.6 + 4.8 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Linear combination of left matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + 7 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad 6 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + 8 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Sum of outer products

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$