#### CS406: Compilers Spring 2022

#### Week 9: IR Code for Functions, Local Optimizations

Slides Acknowledgements: Milind Kulkarni

CS406, IIT Dharwad

1

## Functions Typical Syntax and Usage



#### END

## Terms

```
void foo() {
    int a, b;
    ...
    bar(a, b);
}
```

```
void bar(int x, int y) {
    ...
}
```

- foo is the *caller*
- bar is the callee
- a, b are the actual parameters to bar
- x, y are the formal parameters of bar
- Shorthand:
  - argument = actual parameter
  - parameter = formal parameter

## Different Kinds of Parameters

- Value
- Reference
- Result
- Value-Reference
- Read-only
- Call-by-Name

## Value parameters

- "Call-by-value"
- Used in C, Java, default in C++
- Passes the value of an argument to the function
- Makes a copy of argument when function is called
- Advantages? Disadvantages?

Advantage: 'side-effect' free – caller can be sure that the argument is not modified by the callee Disadvantage: Not efficient for larger sized arguments.

## Value parameters

```
int x = 1;
void main () {
    foo(x, x);
    print(x);
}
```

• What do the print statements print?

```
void foo(int y, int z) {
    y = 2;
    z = 3;
    print(x);
}
```

## **Reference** parameters

- "Call-by-reference"
- Optional in Pascal (use "var" keyword) and C++ (use "&")
- Pass the *address* of the argument to the function
- If an argument is an expression, evaluate it, place it in memory and then pass the address of the memory location
- Advantages? Disadvantages?

Advantage: Efficiency – for larger sized arguments Disadvantage: results in clumsy code at times (e.g. check for null pointers)

### **Reference** parameters

```
int x = 1;
void main () {
    foo(x, x);
    print(x);
}
void foo(int &y, int &z) {
    y = 2;
    z = 3;
    print(x);
    print(y);
}
```

What do the print statements print?

### **Result Parameters**

- To capture the return value of a function
- Copied at the end of function into arguments of the caller
- E.g. output ports in Verilog module definitions

#### **Result Parameters**

```
int x = 1
void main () {
  foo(x, x);
  print(x);
}
```

```
•What do the print statements print?
```

```
void foo(int y, result int z) {
  y = 2;
```

```
z = 3;
print(x);
```

}

#### Value-Result Parameters

- "Copy-in copy-out"
- Evaluate argument expression, copy to parameters
- After subroutine is done, copy values of parameters back into arguments
- Results are often similar to pass-by-reference, but there are some subtle situations where they are different

#### Value-Result Parameters

```
int x = 1
void main () {
  foo(x, x);
  print(x);
}
void foo(int y, value result int z)
```

```
•What do the print statements print?
```

```
{
    y = 2;
    z = 3;
    print(x);
}
```

### **Read-only Parameters**

- Used when callee will not change value of parameters
- Read-only restriction must be enforced by compiler
- E.g. const parameter in C/C++
- Enforcing becomes tricky when in the presence of aliasing and control flow. E.g.

```
void foo(readonly int x, int y) {
  int * p;
  if (...) p = &x else p = &y
  *p = 4
}
```

### Call-by-name Parameters

- The arguments are passed to the function before evaluation
  - Usually, we evaluate the arguments before passing them
- Not used in many languages, but Haskell uses a variant

```
int x = 1
void main () {
   foo(x+2);
   print(x);
}
void foo(int y) {
   z = y + 3; //expands to z = x + 2 + 3
   print(z);
}
```

### Call-by-name Parameters

- Why is this useful?
  - E.g. to analyze certain properties of a program/function termination

```
void main () {
    foo(bar());
}
```

```
void foo(int y) {
    z = 3;
    if(z > 3)
        z = y + z;
}
```

• Even if bar has an infinite loop, the program terminates.

## Program Layout in Memory

- Compiler assumes a *runtime environment* for execution of the program.
- A C/C++ program in Linux OS has 4 segments of memory
  - Every memory location is a *box* holding *data/instruction*

## **Program Layout in Memory**

- A program's memory space is divided into four segments:
  - 1. Text
    - source code of the program
  - 2. Data
    - Broken into uninitialized and initialized segments; contains space for global and static variables. E.g. int x = 7; int y;
  - 3. Heap
    - Memory allocated using malloc/calloc/realloc/new
  - 4. Stack
    - Function arguments, return values, local variables, special registers.

## **Program Layout in Memory**



## Activation

- A function call or invocation is termed an *activation*
- Calls to functions in a program form *activation tree* 
  - Postorder traversal of the tree shows return sequence i.e. the order in which control returns from functions
  - Preorder traversal of the tree shows calling sequence
- In a sequential program, at any point in time, *control* of execution is in any one activation
  - All the ancestors of that activation are active i.e. have not returned

## Activation

- Activations are managed through the help of control stack
- A function call (activation) results in allocating a chunk of memory called *activation record* or *frame* on the stack (also called *stack frame*)

## **Activation Record**

- A *sub-segment* of memory on the stack
  - Special registers \$rbp and \$rsp track the bottom and top of the stack frame. These are the names in x86 architecture.



- \$rbp base pointer or frame pointer (fp)
- \$rsp stack pointer (sp)











	Stack	]	<pre>main() {</pre>
	<pre>main()</pre>	-	 fact(3);
	fact(3)	Stack frame for fact n=3	 }
fp→ sp→	fact(2)	Stack frame for fact n=2	<pre>fact(int n) {</pre>
	fact(1)	Stack frame for fact n=1	<pre>if (n=0) return 1 return n*fact(n-1)</pre>
	fact(0)	Stack frame for fact n=0	}

	Stack		<pre>main() {</pre>
	<pre>main()</pre>		 fact(3);
	fact(3)	Stack frame for fact n=3	
	fact(2)	Stack frame for fact n=2	<pre>fact(int n) {</pre>
fp → sp →	fact(1)	Stack frame for fact n=1	if (n=0) return 1 return n*fact(n-1) }

#### Stack frame for n=0 popped off. 1 Returned.



#### Stack frame for n=1 popped off. 1 Returned.



#### Stack frame for n=2 popped off. 2 Returned.



#### Stack frame for n=3 popped off. 6 Returned.

## **Activation Record**

- What happens when a function is called?
  - 1. fp and sp get adjusted
  - 2. Memory for the activation record is allocated on stack
    - The size of the memory allocated depends on local variables used by the called function (consult function's symbol table for this)
  - 3. Each invocation of a function has its own instantiation of local variables
- When the function call returns:
  - Memory for the activation record is destroyed when the function returns

## **Activation Record**

• What is stored in the activation record?

Depends on the language being implemented:

- Temporaries
- Local vars
- Saved registers
- Return address, previous fp
- Return value
- Actual Params
- Who stores this information?
  - Caller \_\_\_\_\_ together execute *calling sequence* and *return*
  - Callee *sequence*

# Application Binary Interface (ABI)

- How is data organized on the activation record?
  - ABI is the specification on how data is provided to functions
    - Caller saves or callee saves
  - ABI is meant to deliver interoperability between different compilers
    - Compile the function using one compiler to create an object code, Link object code with other code compiled using a different compiler

form the calling convention

### **Typical Activation Record**



CS406, IIT Dharwad

## Function call: Peeking at Activation Record

- When main calls function foo
  - 1. The following are pushed on to the stack:
    - 1. foo's arguments
    - 2. Space to hold foo's return value
    - 3. Address of the next instruction executed (in main) when foo returns (return address)
    - 4. Current value of \$rbp (frame pointer)

\$rsp is automatically updated (decremented) to point to current top of the stack.

2. \$rbp is assigned the value of \$rsp

main() {

...

}

foo();




main() { z=foo(x, 2\*y); return; int foo(int a, int b) { int 11, 12 11=a; 12=b; return l1+l2;







![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Function calls** – Register Handling

- **Did not use registers** in the previous example (for parameter passing)
- Registers are faster than memory. So, compiler should keep parameters in registers whenever possible
- Modern calling convention places first few arguments in registers (arg1 in r1, arg2 in r2, arg3 in r3...) and the remaining in memory.
  - In x86 C-ABI, first 6 arguments are passed in registers
- What if callee wants to use registers r1, r2, r3 etc. for local computation? Callee must save the registers in its stack frame.

#### Function calls – Register Handling

- Two options: caller saves or callee saves
- Caller Saves
  - Caller pushes all the registers it is using on to the stack before calling the function
  - Restores the registers after the function returns
- Callee Saves
  - Callee pushes all the registers it is *going to use* on the stack immediately after being called
  - Restores the registers just before it returns

#### Activation records

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Activation Record – Return Address and Return Value

- Callee must be able to return to the caller when done
- Return address is the address of the instruction following the function call
- Return address can be placed on the stack or on register
- The call instruction on modern machines places the return address in a specific register
- Return value is placed in a specific register by the callee function

# The frame pointer

- Manipulate with instructions like link and unlink
  - Link: push current value of FP on to stack, set FP to top of stack
  - Unlink: read value at current address pointed to by FP, set FP to point to that value
  - In other words: link pushes a new frame onto the stack, unlink pops it off

# Stack Pointer

• SP is manipulated through push and pop instructions

```
Push x:
stack_pointer--
Memory[stack_pointer] = x
```

Pop x: x = Memory[stack\_pointer] stack\_pointer--

#### Example Subroutine Call and Stack Frame

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Question**?

Where are the command-line arguments stored?

How about environment variables such as LD\_LIBRARY\_PATH and PATH?

**Challenge Q:** are there scenarios where the activation record is required to be allocated on the heap?

### Local Optimizations

# Naïve approach

- "Macro-expansion"
  - Treat each 3AC instruction separately, generate code in isolation

![](_page_51_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Why is this bad? (I)

MUL A, 4, B

LD A, R I MOV 4, R2 MUL R I, R2, R3 ST R3, B

#### MULA, 4, B

LD A, RI MULI RI, 4, R3 ST R3, B

There is a better instruction available!

Too many instructions Should use a different instruction type

CS406, IIT Dharwad

![](_page_53_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Why is this bad? (III)

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

# How do we address this?

- Several techniques to improve performance of generated code
  - Instruction selection to choose better instructions
  - Peephole optimizations to remove redundant instructions
  - Common subexpression elimination to remove redundant computation
  - Register allocation to reduce number of registers used

# Instruction selection

• Even a simple instruction may have a large set of possible address modes and combinations

![](_page_56_Figure_2.jpeg)

• Dozens of potential combinations!

# More choices for instructions

- Auto increment/decrement (especially common in embedded processors as in DSPs)
  - e.g., load from this address and increment it
  - Why is this useful?
- Three-address instructions
- Specialized registers (condition registers, floating point registers, etc.)
- "Free" addition in indexed mode MOV (RI)offset R2
  - Why is this useful?

- Simple optimizations that can be performed by pattern matching
  - Intuitively, look through a "peephole" at a small segment of code and replace it with something better
  - Example: if code generator sees ST R X; LD X R, eliminate load
- Can recognize sequences of instructions that can be performed by single instructions

LDI R1 R2; ADD R1 4 R1 replaced by

LDINC R1 R2 4 //load from address in R1 then inc by 4

- Simple optimizations that can be performed by pattern matching
  - Intuitively, look through a "peephole" at a small segment of code and replace it with something better
  - Example: if code generator sees ST R X; LD X R, eliminate load

Get the data present at address in R2 and put it in R1 be

LDI R1 R2; ADD R1 4 R1 replaced by

LDINC R1 R2 4 //load from address in R1 then inc by 4

- Constant folding
   ADD lit1, lit2, Rx → MOV lit1 + lit2, Rx
   MOV lit1, Rx
   ADD lit2, Rx, Ry
   → MOV lit1 + lit2, Ry
- Strength reduction

MUL operand, 2,  $Rx \longrightarrow SHIFTL$  operand, 1, RxDIV operand, 4,  $Rx \longrightarrow SHIFTR$  operand, 2, Rx

Null sequences

MUL operand, 1,  $Rx \longrightarrow MOV$  operand, Rx

ADD operand, 0,  $Rx \longrightarrow MOV$  operand, Rx

Combine operations

JEQ L1 JMP L2 L1: ...

Simplifying

SUB operand, 0,  $Rx \longrightarrow NEG Rx$ 

- Special cases (taking advantage of ++/--)
   ADD 1, Rx, Rx → INC Rx
   SUB Rx, 1, Rx → DEC Rx
- Address mode operations

MOV A R1 ADD Ø(R1) R2 R3  $\longrightarrow$  ADD @A R2 R3

# Superoptimization

- Peephole optimization/instruction selection writ large
- Given a sequence of instructions, find a different sequence of instructions that performs the same computation in less time
- Huge body of research, pulling in ideas from all across computer science
  - Theorem proving
  - Machine learning

# Common subexpression elimination

• Goal: remove redundant computation, don't calculate the same expression multiple times

I:A = B * C	Keep the result of statement 1 in a
2: E = B * C	temporary and reuse for statement 2

 Difficulty: how do we know when the same expression will produce the same result?

I:A = B * C	B is "killed." Any expression using B is
2: B = <new value=""></new>	no longer "available," so we cannot
3: E = B * C	statement 3

• This becomes harder with pointers (how do we know when B is killed?)

# Common subexpression elimination

- Two varieties of common subexpression elimination (CSE)
- Local: within a single basic block
  - Easier problem to solve (why?)
- Maximal sequence of instructions that are executed one after another (i.e. there are no jump instructions OR no instruction is the target of a jump)
- Global: within a single procedure or across the whole program
  - Intra- vs. inter-procedural
  - More powerful, but harder (why?)
  - Will come back to these sorts of "global" optimizations later

Local optimizations are done on basic blocks. Global optimizations on control flow graphs (CFGs), where the basic blocks are the nodes of the graph. Then, there are inter-procedural optimizations, which span function calls. Later on CFGs and other kinds of optimizations. <sup>65</sup>

# CSE in practice

- Idea: keep track of which expressions are "available" during the execution of a basic block
  - Which expressions have we already computed?
  - Issue: determining when an expression is no longer available
    - This happens when one of its components is assigned to, or "killed."
- Idea: when we see an expression that is already available, rather than generating code, copy the temporary
  - Issue: determining when two expressions are the same

## Maintaining available expressions

- For each 3AC operation in a basic block
  - Create name for expression (based on lexical representation)
  - If name not in available expression set, generate code, add it to set
    - Track register that holds result of and any variables used to compute expression
  - If name in available expression set, generate move instruction
  - If operation assigns to a variable, kill all dependent expressions

	Example	Killed expression(s)	Generated Code (assembly)
3 Address Code	Available expression(s)		ld a r1; ld b r2;
ADD A B T1	{}		add r1 r2 r1
ADD T1 C T2 ADD A B T3	{"A + B"} {"A + B", "T1 + C"}		add r1 c r2 mov r1 r3
ADD T1 T2 C	{"A + B", "T1 + C"}	{"T1+C"}	add r1 r2 r5 <mark>st r5 c</mark>
ADD T1 C T4	{"A + B", "T1 + T2"}		add r1 c r4
	{"A + B", "T1 + T2", "T1 + C"}		add r3 r2 r6 st r6 d
	{"A + B", "T1 + T2", "T1 + C", "T3 + T2"}		

#### Downsides (CSE)

What are some downsides to this approach? Consider the two highlighted operations

Three address code

![](_page_68_Figure_3.jpeg)

Generated code

ADD A B R1 ADD R1 C R2 MOV R1 R3 ADD R1 R2 R5; ST R5 C ADD R1 C R4 ST R5 D

T1 and T3 compute the same expression. This can be handled by an optimization called *value numbering*.

## Aliasing

• One of the biggest problems in compiler analysis is to recognize aliases – different names for the same location in memory

exercise: are T1 and T3 aliased in previous example?

- •Why do aliases occur?
  - Pointers referring to the same location
  - •Function calls passing the same reference in two arguments
  - Arrays referencing the same element
  - •Unions
- •What problems does aliasing pose for CSE? •when talking about "live" and "killed" values in optimizations like CSE, we're talking about particular variable names

•In the presence of aliasing, we may not know which variables get killed when a location is written to

CS406, IIT Dharwad

## Memory disambiguation

- Most compiler analyses rely on *memory disambiguation* 
  - Otherwise, they need to be too conservative and are not useful
- Memory disambiguation is the problem of determining whether two references point to the same memory location
  - Points-to and alias analyses try to solve this
  - Will cover basic pointer analyses in a later lecture

# Single assignment form and its use in local optimizations

Single assignment form: a variable is assigned only x=2\*x once i.e. appears only once in LHS.

![](_page_71_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Figure_3.jpeg)

Aids copy propagation: can replace all the uses of a variable downstream

Aids dead code elimination: if the variable is never used later, can safely remove the statement where the variable is defined/assigned to.

CS406, IIT Dharwad
a=x\*\*2 b=3 c=x d=c\*c e=b\*2 f=a+d g=e\*f

- Algebraic simplification exploiting mathematical properties of operators involved a=x\*x
  - b=3
  - C=X
  - d=c\*c
  - e=b<<1
  - f=a+d
  - g=e\*f

Copy propagation



Constant folding

 $a=x^*x$  $a=x^*x$  $a=x^*x$ b=3b=3 b=3 C = XC = XC = Xd=x\*xd=c\*c d=x\*xe=6 e=b<<1 e=3<<1 f=a+d f=a+d f=a+d g=e\*f g=e\*f g=e\*f

• CSE



Copy and Constant Propagation

 $a=x^*x$  $a=x^*x$ b=3b=3c=xc=xd=ad=ae=6e=6f=a+df=a+a $g=e^*f$  $g=6^*f$ 

Dead code elimination

